

Accepted Manuscript

Magnetic properties of ilmenite $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$

Rainer Schmidt

PII: S0304-8853(19)30275-6


DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2019.02.047>

Reference: MAGMA 64966

To appear in: *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*

Received Date: 21 January 2019

Accepted Date: 16 February 2019



Please cite this article as: R. Schmidt, Magnetic properties of ilmenite $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials* (2019), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2019.02.047>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Magnetic properties of ilmenite $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$

Rainer Schmidt^{a,b,*}

^a *Universidad Complutense de Madrid, GFMC, Dpto. Física de Materiales, Facultad de Ciencias Físicas, 28040 Madrid, Spain*

^b *Unidad Asociada “Laboratorio de heteroestructuras con aplicación en spintrónica”, UCM- CSIC, Sor Juana Ines de la Cruz, 3, Cantoblanco E-28049 Madrid, Spain*

*Corresponding author. Electronic mail: rainerxschmidt@googlemail.com

Magnetic properties of ilmenite $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$

Abstract

In the work presented the magnetic properties of the high pressure ilmenite phase $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ are investigated in detail. It is shown that $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ ilmenite is ferrimagnetic ($T_N \approx 270$ K) and exhibits a near-zero coercive field H_C . Furthermore, the Mn^{2+} - Mn^{2+} , Fe^{3+} - Fe^{3+} and Mn^{2+} - Fe^{3+} magnetic exchange interactions exhibit gradual and continuous variations with temperature and magnetic field. The ferrimagnetic moment is unsaturated even at 120 kOe below the theoretical value of $5 \mu_B$ per f.u.

Keywords

Multiferroics; Magnetism; Superparamagnetism; Ilmenite;

Highlights

- Discussion of the crystal and magnetic structure of ilmenite $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$
- Ferrimagnetic transition at $T_N = 270$ K
- Identical zero field and field cooled (ZFC-FC) M vs T curves
- Significant changes in M vs T curves with H and T due to unsaturated moments
- Near-zero coercive field in magnetization M vs applied magnetic field H curves

1. Introduction

Multiferroic materials exhibit two or more ferroic properties for potential application in the electronics industry [1, 2]. This is particularly promising in materials where ferroelectricity and ferromagnetism coexist. In reality, only few materials are known where ferroelectricity and ferromagnetism occur in one single phase and it has been argued that the two properties are mutually exclusive in single oxide materials [3, 4].

The few known single phase multiferroics are binary or more complex oxides that may be classified into two categories [5]: In type-I multiferroics, ferroelectricity and ferromagnetism arise from two independent mechanisms, whereas in type-II multiferroics ferroelectricity is a direct consequence of the magnetic order. Type-I multiferroicity occurs in materials like BiFeO_3 [6-10], BiMnO_3 [11-14] and related compounds [15-18] with relatively high ordering temperatures, which often come at the expense of small magnetoelectric coupling (MEC) effects. In type-II multiferroics the intrinsic connection of ferroelectric order to the magnetic one is expected to produce large MEC, but such ferroic properties tend to order at lower temperatures [19-21].

Recently, significant progress has been reported of large MEC at ≈ 260 K in ilmenite $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ polycrystals [22]. The MEC effect is manifested by a large peak in the curves of the real part of the dielectric permittivity ϵ' vs temperature (T), where the peak appears at $T \approx 260$ K under low applied magnetic field (H) just below the ferrimagnetic transition T_N and is absent at $H = 0$. Furthermore, a relaxor-like ferroelectric transition was detected at $T \approx 650$ K in form of a large frequency dependent peak in ϵ' vs T curves, and a satellite peak is displayed at lower T at ≈ 500 K reminiscent of a second ferroelectric transition [22]. Therefore, ilmenite $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ may be regarded a type-I multiferroic material with


independent (anti-)ferroelectric ($T_C \approx 500$ K / 650 K) and ferrimagnetic ($T_N \approx 270$ K) order exhibiting unusually strong MEC. Although a preliminary model for the MEC mechanism was proposed [22], clear experimental evidence is still lacking. Here in this work a detailed study of the magnetic properties in ilmenite $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ is presented, which may constitute a first step towards the development of a more detailed understanding of the MEC effect in this material.

2. Ilmenite $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$

The ilmenite $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ phase is a high pressure mineral, which was first called melanostibian upon its discovery by L.J. Igelström in 1892 in the manganese ore mine of Sjögrufvan (Sweden) [23, 24]. The mineral was renamed to melanostibite in 1968 by P.B. Moore [25, 26] and produced first in its synthetic form under high pressure in 1996 [27]. The magnetism and the ferrimagnetic transition at $T_N \approx 270$ K were first investigated by R. Mathieu et al. in 2011 [28], whereas Hudl et al. discovered a magnetocaloric effect at T_N [29]. More recently, the Ti-doped variants $\text{Mn}_3\text{FeTiSbO}_9$ and $\text{Mn}_4\text{FeTi}_2\text{SbO}_{12}$ have been found to crystallize in the ilmenite structure without the application of high pressure [30, 31].

The ABO_3 ilmenite structure corresponds to a stacked corundum as demonstrated in Figure 1a. The stacked A-site Mn^{2+} and B-site $\text{Sb}^{5+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$ (001) c -planes are perpendicular to and align along the [001] c -crystal direction, where the $\text{Sb}^{5+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$ cations are randomly distributed within one plane, i.e. cation ordering is absent. Along the c -crystal direction the stacking sequence is Mn^{2+} - $\text{Sb}^{5+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$ - \square - $\text{Sb}^{5+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$ - Mn^{2+} - \square - Mn^{2+} , i.e. with an occupancy of 2/3, where \square denotes a vacant site. The octahedral VI-coordinated A- and B-cationic sites are crystallographically similar but not identical due to different octahedral

tilting angles. The (001) Mn^{2+} and $\text{Sb}^{5+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$ c -planes are indicated in Figure 1 by orange and green color respectively. The oxygen octahedra within one plane are edge shared, but alternately share a face with an octahedron from one of the neighboring planes and three corners with a total of six octahedra from the other opposite neighboring plane (Figure 1a).






Figure 1 Crystal structure of ilmenite $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ according to [25]: (a) Structural [110] projection. The stacking of Mn-Sb/Fe cation layers along the [001] *c*-direction is indicated. (b) Cations are slightly shifted from the octahedral centers as indicated by slightly different Mn-O and Fe/Sb – O bonding distances due to Coulomb repulsions between Mn^{2+} and

$\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Sb}^{5+}$ cation pairs, indicated by blue dashed lines. (c) Hexagonal arrangement of the octahedra within the (001) *c*-planes demonstrating the vacant sites □.

Due to Mn^{2+} and $\text{Sb}^{5+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$ Coulomb repulsions in the face sharing octahedra (indicated by blue dashed lines in Figure 1 a & b) an off-centering and displacement of all cations from their central octahedral positions is indicated in Figure 1b by slightly different Mn-O and Fe/Sb – O bond length [25]. This gives rise to alternating displacements of the Mn^{2+} and $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Sb}^{5+}$ cations above and below the (001) planes. The oxygen octahedra within one plane exhibit a hexagonal arrangement with an occupancy of 2/3 as depicted in Figure 1c and the structure can be represented by hexagonal sub-cells (Figure 2).




Figure 2 Hexagonal sub-cells of $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ ilmenite. The magnetic spin exchange interactions between isoelectronic Mn^{2+} cations (orange spheres) and Fe^{3+} (green) are depicted. Non-magnetic Sb^{5+} cations are shown in black, \square are vacant sites. Red arrows indicate possible spin orientations and black arrows show the cationic displacements due to Coulomb repulsions (blue dashed lines).

In previous research, the magnetic interactions of the cations within and between the c -planes were predicted from comparison with ilmenite NiMnO_3 and from theoretical considerations: (a) ferromagnetic $\text{Mn}^{2+} - \text{Mn}^{2+}$, (b) ferromagnetic $\text{Fe}^{3+} - \text{Fe}^{3+}$, which are interrupted by non-magnetic Sb^{5+} [28, 32], and (c) antiferromagnetic $\text{Mn}^{2+} - \text{Fe}^{3+}$ (Figure 2). It should be noted though that the predicted ferromagnetic $\text{Mn}^{2+} - \text{Mn}^{2+}$ interactions in $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ contradict the case of MnTiO_3 ilmenite, which is one of the most studied A-site Mn^{2+} ilmenites [33-36] showing antiferromagnetic A-site ordering of $\text{Mn}^{2+} - \text{Mn}^{2+}$ cations. Also in ilmenite NiTiO_3 , A-site antiferromagnetic order had been reported [33, 37]. The prediction of ferromagnetic B-site ordering of $\text{Fe}^{3+} - \text{Fe}^{3+}$ is in agreement though with the case of corundum $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ ferrite, where Fe^{3+} cations on the B-sites show in-plane ferromagnetism [38, 39], as well as on the A-sites, and the $\text{Fe}^{3+} - \text{Fe}^{3+}$ interactions between different A-site/B-site planes are antiferromagnetic. Therefore, it may be plausible that in ilmenite $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$, the B-site $\text{Fe}^{3+} - \text{Fe}^{3+}$ interactions are ferromagnetic, and A-site/B-site interactions between isoelectronic $\text{Mn}^{2+} - \text{Fe}^{3+}$ antiferromagnetic (Figure 2). The predicted ferrimagnetic moment is $5 \mu_B$ per formula unit f.u. ($\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$).

Interestingly, the $\text{Fe}^{3+} - \text{Fe}^{3+}$ spins at different A-site/B-site planes in $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ are not perfectly aligned antiferromagnetically and give rise to a small net magnetic moment above the well-known Morin transition at $T_M = 260 \text{ K}$ [40, 41]. By cooling below T_M the net magnetic moment disappears, the spins reorient from lying within the c -plane to an orientation along the c -crystal direction and are perfectly compensated antiferromagnetically. On the other hand, for smaller particle sizes T_M decreases, the spins fluctuate within the c -plane below T_M and superparamagnetism occurs [41, 42].

To predict the strength of different magnetic interactions in $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ it is convenient that iso-electronic Mn^{2+} and Fe^{3+} cations possess exclusively half-filled d -orbitals leading to antiferromagnetic super-exchange according to the Goodenough-Kanamori rules, and Sb^{5+} are non-magnetic. On the other hand, due to the $\text{Mn}^{2+} - \text{O}^{2-} - \text{Fe}^{3+}$ bonding angles being near 90° , direct ferromagnetic exchange interactions may occur in addition to super-exchange and the two mechanisms may compete with each other. In fact, the different B-site/B-site (ferromagnetic) and A-site/B-site (antiferromagnetic) interactions may be understood by dominating direct exchange or super-exchange interactions respectively. The strongest overall exchange interactions on a local level may be between $\text{Mn}^{2+} - \text{Fe}^{3+}$ in face-sharing octahedra with the smallest atomic distance ($\approx 3.01 \text{ \AA}$) of all cationic pairs (see blue dashed lines in Figure 1 a & b). On the other hand, all long-range magnetic interactions involving B-site Fe^{3+} cations are diluted by Sb^{5+} on a macroscopic level and the strongest long-range magnetic order may occur between $\text{Mn}^{2+} - \text{Mn}^{2+}$ A-site cations with a distance of $\approx 3.14 \text{ \AA}$.

3. Experimental

In this work the same ilmenite $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ polycrystals of the R-3 space group were analyzed that had been used previously for dielectric measurements in reference [22]. The synthesis had been carried out in a platinum pressure cell within a Conac-type press at high pressure (3 GPa) at 1200°C for 12 min using Mn_2O_3 , Fe_2O_3 and Sb_2O_3 precursors [22]. A Quantum Design SQUID MPMS was used to measure the magnetic susceptibility χ vs T at 5 K - 320 K during heating under ZFC and FC conditions under various applied magnetic fields H (20 Oe - 10 kOe). Before applying low H of 20 Oe, the MPMS magnetic coil was

carefully demagnetized by cycling H around zero several times at $T = 300$ K above T_N . Magnetization (M) vs H curves were measured by cycling H between $+ 50$ kOe and $- 50$ kOe at 5 K - 300 K. Since the M vs H curves showed no saturation even at 50 kOe, additional M vs H curves were collected by cycling H between $+120$ kOe and -120 kOe at 50 K - 250 K.

4. Results and discussion

Figure 3 shows the T -dependence of the susceptibility χ ($\text{emu mol}^{-1} \text{Oe}^{-1}$) for different applied H (Oe), measured under ZFC and FC conditions as indicated. The highest values of χ measured are all in the range of $8 - 10 \text{ emu mol}^{-1} \text{Oe}^{-1}$ for small H up to 1 kOe, which implies that the sample magnetization is not saturated and increases approximately linear with H . At higher H the maximum χ values decrease rapidly, indicative of a saturation effect, where this saturation effect is also obvious at the low- T end of the curves for $H = 5$ kOe and 10 kOe.

The local maxima or peaks in the χ vs T curves shown in Figure 3 move towards lower T for applied H of 20 Oe, 100 Oe, 500 Oe and 1 kOe from ≈ 260 K to ≈ 255 K to 175 K and 115 K, respectively. At 5 kOe and 10 kOe no peaks in χ vs T are displayed and the saturation effect mentioned above is evident at low T . The peak in χ vs T for lower H indicates a gradual loss or weakening of the ferrimagnetic order towards lower T , which may be reminiscent of a spin glass behavior. However, the approximately identical behavior of χ vs T under ZFC and FC conditions would exclude a typical spin glass scenario, because ZFC and FC curves would be expected to deviate from each other near the spin glass transition and FC curves would be expected to exhibit no peak at all [28].

Therefore, the magnetic behavior observed here may alternatively be interpreted by H - and T -dependent variations in the different Mn^{2+} - Mn^{2+} , Fe^{3+} - Fe^{3+} and Mn^{2+} - Fe^{3+} magnetic exchange interactions, possibly involving a varying degree of spin canting and competition between direct- and super-exchange. Similar magnetic behavior had been detected before in systems with at least 3 competing magnetic exchange interactions such as rare-earth (RE)-doped chromites [43-47]. The balance between the competing interactions may be subtle, and external stimuli like varying H and T may lead to variations in the spin orientations.




Figure 3 Magnetic susceptibility χ ($\text{emu mol}^{-1} \text{Oe}^{-1}$) vs T (K) curves for different applied H (Oe), measured under ZFC and FC conditions as indicated. ZFC and FC curves are approximately identical.

In this context it should be noted that an abrupt spin-reorientation at 50 K had been claimed previously in ilmenite $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ [22], whereas the data shown here suggest a scenario of more gradual and continuous variations that depend on H and T . It should be further noted that variations in the χ vs T curves in different ilmenite $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ samples have been previously associated with the crystal quality and phase purity [28], whereas these variations are suggested here to be intrinsic and the competition between different magnetic exchange interactions may play the determining role. In previous research a deviation of ZFC and FC curves had been observed at low $H = 20$ Oe [28, 32], which cannot be confirmed here.

The magnetization data are summarized in Figure 4 in terms of M (μ_B) vs T (K). The figure inset shows differentiated curves of dM/dT (μ_B/K), where the peak associated with the ferrimagnetic transition is visible consistently at $T_N \approx 270$ K and no clear changes with H are obvious. The mean peak temperature is 272 K, which can be associated with the precise H -independent T_N value. The zero crossings of the differentiated curves in the Figure 4 inset correspond to the maxima in M vs T and χ vs T curves.

The highest overall value in the of M (μ_B) vs T curve in the main Figure 4 at 10 kOe is $\approx 4.5 \mu_B$, which is still below the theoretical maximum of $5 \mu_B$. Despite the saturation effect demonstrated at higher H , the magnetization may still not be completely saturated even at 10 kOe.




Figure 4 Magnetization M (μ_B) vs T (K) for all applied H (Oe), measured under FC conditions. The inset shows differentiated M vs T curves, i.e. dM/dT (μ_B/K) vs T (K).

Figure 5 shows plots of magnetization M (μ_B) vs applied magnetic field H (Oe) at several selected T , where M never shows full saturation. This is quite clear at $T = 200$ K and above, but also at the lowest $T = 5$ K, M still slightly increases up to the highest $H = 50$ kOe and never reaches the maximum possible moment of $5 \mu_B$ per f.u. This trend was confirmed on M vs H measurements at 50 K, 100 K and 250 K up to $H = \pm 120$ kOe (data not shown). These data show exactly the same qualitative features with the same trends but extended to higher H , and the magnetic moments still do not reach the full saturation of $5 \mu_B$ per f.u.

It can be concluded that the saturation of the magnetic moments quite strongly increases with decreasing T , but only slightly increases with H . However, full saturation cannot be achieved even at $H = 120$ kOe and $T = 5$ K.




Figure 5 Magnetization M (μ_B) vs applied H (Oe) curves at different T (K) as indicated. M was measured by cycling H from +50 kOe to -50 kOe and back to + 50 kOe. A near-zero coercive field H_C is indicated.

Figure 5 also demonstrates that the coercive field H_C appears to be always approximately zero or near-zero within the resolution limits of the measurements of ≈ 20 Oe and the magnetization curves measured upon increasing and decreasing H are approximately identical.

The low or zero H_C indicates a very soft ferrimagnetic moment, but the experimental resolution however may not be sufficient to safely confirm the occurrence of superparamagnetism where the coercive fields would be expected to be zero or near-zero. Still, superparamagnetism may be likely to occur, since it has been observed previously in nano-sized α -Fe₂O₃ [48] and it is in fact exclusively reported in ferrites [49] and related binary ferrite compounds [50, 51]. In α -Fe₂O₃ ferrite the Fe³⁺ cations are situated at equivalent B-lattice sites as is the case in Mn₂FeSbO₆, whereas the A-sites in Mn₂FeSbO₆ are occupied by Mn²⁺ and in corundum α -Fe₂O₃ by isoelectronic Fe³⁺ cations. Thus, the two cases may be somewhat comparable. However, there is the clear difference of the B-site Fe³⁺ magnetism in Mn₂FeSbO₆ being diluted by Sb, which should be taken into account, and indeed the magnetic ordering temperature in Mn₂FeSbO₆ ($T_N \approx 270$ K) is considerably lower as compared to bulk α -Fe₂O₃ ($T_N \approx 955$ K).

The alternative explanation of a very soft magnetic component without the occurrence of superparamagnetism may be less likely, because very soft magnetism is usually observed in ferromagnetic perovskite manganites, but not in ferrimagnetic ilmenites. Therefore, further investigations may be useful to confirm the indications for superparamagnetism encountered here. It should also be noted the possibility that the ferrimagnetic transition at $T_N \approx 270$ K and the strong ME coupling effect at ≈ 260 K [22] in ilmenite Mn₂FeSbO₆

could be related with the spin-reorientation at the Morin temperature $T_M = 260$ K in α - Fe_2O_3 [40, 41, 48].

Figure 5 further indicates a small magnetic anomaly near zero field at 5 K, 10 K, 25 K, 50 K and 100 K, which suggests the presence of an additional magnetic component. This may be associated with a magnetic impurity like MnO, which had been detected previously from neutron diffraction data below 120 K [22]. The Figure 5 insets for 100 K and 200 K indicate that the anomaly is visible at 100 K but not at 200K, and it may therefore be compatible with a MnO impurity. Furthermore, the paramagnetic M vs H curve above T_N at 300 K (Figure 5) shows indications for another magnetic anomaly near zero field, which could be associated with additional magnetic impurities like the potential secondary phase of spinel MnFe_2O_4 with $T_N \approx 570$ K, depending on particle size [52-54]. However, the magnetic signals of this phase were reported to be absent in neutron diffraction data [22], and an alternative explanation may be a residual magnetic moment. This residual magnetic moment would also show near-zero coercive field H_C (see Figure 5 inset of the 300 K curve) and disappears near the satellite peak of the ferroelectric transition in ϵ' vs T at $T \approx 500$ K [22]. On a rather speculative note this residual moment could be associated with local B-site Fe^{3+} - Fe^{3+} interactions, considering the following arguments: (i) Fe^{3+} and Sb^{5+} cations are randomly distributed on the ilmenite B-sites and thus, (ii) local Fe^{3+} clusters may form. (iii) Fe^{3+} - Fe^{3+} interactions on the B-sites may persist at higher T at least on a local level as is the case in α - Fe_2O_3 ($T_N \approx 955$ K).

5. Conclusions

The synthetic melanostibite $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ with ilmenite structure displays a very soft magnetic component below the ferrimagnetic transition at $T_N \approx 270$ K, possibly related with superparamagnetism. The curves of magnetic susceptibility χ vs T display clear signs of weakly H - and strongly T -dependent spin reorientations, which occur gradually and continuously over wide H - and T -ranges. No signs were detected of an abrupt spin reorientation at 50 K as reported previously [22]. The determination of the exact MEC mechanism in ilmenite $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ may require further investigation, but the fact that a low magnetic field H is sufficient to trigger the MEC [22] may well be related with the near-zero coercive field H_C detected here.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Spanish MINECO under Grant MAT2014-52405-C2-2-R. The author wishes to thank Mar García Hernandez, Federico Mompean and Jesús Prado-Gonjal for allowing use and help with the magnetization measurement equipment.

Declaration of interest statement.

The author declares no conflict of interests.

References

- [1] M. Fiebig, Revival of the magnetoelectric effect, *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, 38 (2005) R123.
- [2] R. Ramesh, Emerging routes to multiferroics, *Nature*, 461 (2009) 1218.
- [3] N.A. Hill, Why Are There so Few Magnetic Ferroelectrics?, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 104 (2000) 6694.
- [4] D.I. Khomskii, Magnetism and ferroelectricity: why do they so seldom coexist?, *Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. C* (2001) 21.002.
- [5] D.I. Khomskii, Classifying multiferroics: Mechanisms and effects, *Physics*, 2 (2009) 20.
- [6] J. Wang, J. Neaton, H. Zheng, V. Nagarajan, S.B. Ogale, B. Liu, D. Viehland, V. Vaithyanathan, D.G. Schlom, U. Waghmare, N.A. Spaldin, K.M. Rabe, M. Wuttig, R. Ramesh, Epitaxial BiFeO_3 Multiferroic Thin Film Heterostructures, *Science*, 299 (2003) 1719.
- [7] G. Catalan, J.F. Scott, Physics and Applications of Bismuth Ferrite, *Advanced Materials*, 21 (2009) 2463-2485.

- [8] R. Schmidt, J. Ventura, E. Langenberg, N.M. Nemes, C. Munuera, M. Varela, M. Garcia-Hernandez, C. Leon, J. Santamaria, Magnetoimpedance spectroscopy of epitaxial multiferroic thin films, *Physical Review B*, 86 (2012) 035113.
- [9] Z.V. Gareeva, K.A. Zvezdin, A.P. Pyatakov, A.K. Zvezdin, Novel type of spin cycloid in epitaxial bismuth ferrite films, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 469 (2019) 593-597.
- [10] B. Yotburut, P. Thongbai, T. Yamwong, S. Maensiri, Synthesis and characterization of multiferroic Sm-doped BiFeO₃ nanopowders and their bulk dielectric properties, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 437 (2017) 51-61.
- [11] T. Kimura, S. Kawamoto, I. Yamada, M. Azuma, M. Takano, Y. Tokura, Magnetocapacitance effect in multiferroic BiMnO₃, *Physical Review B*, 67 (2003) 180401(R).
- [12] R. Schmidt, W. Eerenstein, T. Winiecki, F.D. Morrison, P.A. Midgley, Impedance spectroscopy of epitaxial multiferroic thin films, *Phys. Rev. B*, 75 (2007) 245111.
- [13] R. Schmidt, W. Eerenstein, P.A. Midgley, Large dielectric response to the paramagnetic-ferromagnetic transition ($T_C \sim 100$ K) in multiferroic BiMnO₃ epitaxial thin films, *Phys. Rev. B*, 79 (2009) 214107.
- [14] L.-J. Zhai, H.-Y. Wang, The magnetic and multiferroic properties in BiMnO₃, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 426 (2017) 188-194.
- [15] E. Langenberg, I. Fina, J. Ventura, B. Noheda, M. Varela, J. Fontcuberta, Dielectric properties of (Bi_{0.9}La_{0.1})₂NiMnO₆ thin films: Determining the intrinsic electric and magnetoelectric response, *Physical Review B*, 86 (2012) 085108.
- [16] E. Langenberg, L. Maurel, N. Marcano, R. Guzmán, P. Štrichovanec, T. Prokscha, C. Magén, P.A. Algarabel, J.A. Pardo, Controlling the Electrical and Magnetoelectric Properties of Epitaxially Strained Sr_{1-x}Ba_xMnO₃ Thin Films, *Advanced Materials Interfaces*, 4 (2017) n/a-n/a.
- [17] P. Chen, B.-G. Liu, Giant ferroelectric polarization and electric reversal of strong spontaneous magnetization in multiferroic Bi₂FeMoO₆, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 441 (2017) 497-502.
- [18] E. Langenberg, M. Varela, M.V. García-Cuenca, C. Ferrater, M.C. Polo, I. Fina, L. Fàbrega, F. Sánchez, J. Fontcuberta, Epitaxial thin films of (Bi_{0.9}La_{0.1})₂NiMnO₆ obtained by pulsed laser deposition, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 321 (2009) 1748-1753.
- [19] T. Kimura, T. Goto, H. Shintani, K. Ishizaka, T. Arima, Y. Tokura, Magnetic control of ferroelectric polarization, *Nature*, 426 (2003) 55-58.
- [20] N. Hur, S. Park, P.A. Sharma, J.S. Ahn, S. Guha, S.W. Cheong, Electric polarization reversal and memory in a multiferroic material induced by magnetic fields, *Nature*, 429 (2004) 392.
- [21] R. Vilarinho, E. Queirós, D.J. Passos, D.A. Mota, P.B. Tavares, M. Mihalik Jr, M. Zentkova, M. Mihalik, A. Almeida, J.A. Moreira, On the ferroelectric and magnetoelectric mechanisms in low Fe³⁺ doped TbMnO₃, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 439 (2017) 167-172.
- [22] A.J. Dos santos-García, E. Solana-Madruga, C. Ritter, A. Andrada-Chacón, J. Sánchez-Benítez, F.J. Mompean, M. Garcia-Hernandez, R. Sáez-Puche, R. Schmidt, Large Magnetoelectric Coupling Near Room Temperature in Synthetic Melanostibite Mn₂FeSbO₆, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 56 (2017) 4438-4442.
- [23] L.J. Igelström, Melanostibian, ein neues Mineral von der Mangangrube Sjögrufvan, Kirchspiel Grythyttan, Gouvernement Örebro, Schweden, *Z. Kristallogr.*, 21 (1893) 246.
- [24] L.J. Igelström, Mineralogiska meddelanden - 18. Melanostibian, ett nytt antimonmineral från Sjögrufvan, *GFF*, 14 (1892) 583.
- [25] P.B. Moore, Substitutions of the type (Sb_{0.5}⁵⁺Fe_{0.5}³⁺) \leftrightarrow (Ti⁴⁺): The crystal structure of melanostibite, *Am. Mineral.*, 53 (1968) 1104.
- [26] P.B. Moore, Contributions to Swedish Mineralogy. II. Melanostibite and manganostibite, two unusual antimony minerals, *Ark. Mineral. Geol.*, 4 (1968) 449.
- [27] G.V. Bazuev, B.G. Golovkin, N.V. Lukin, N.I. Kadyrova, Y.G. Zainulin, High Pressure Synthesis and Polymorphism of Complex Oxides Mn₂BSbO₆ (B = Fe, V, Cr, Ga, Al), *Journal of Solid State Chemistry*, 124 (1996) 333-337.
- [28] R. Mathieu, S.A. Ivanov, G.V. Bazuev, M. Hudl, P. Lazor, I.V. Solovyev, P. Nordblad, Magnetic order near 270 K in mineral and synthetic Mn₂FeSbO₆ ilmenite, *Applied Physics Letters*, 98 (2011) 202505.
- [29] M. Hudl, R. Mathieu, P. Nordblad, S.A. Ivanov, G.V. Bazuev, P. Lazor, Investigation of the magnetic phase transition and magnetocaloric properties of the Mn₂FeSbO₆ ilmenite, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 331 (2013) 193-197.

- [30] G.V. Bazuev, A.V. Korolev, I.V. Nikolaenko, B.G. Golovkin, Synthesis and Magnetic Properties of a New Complex Oxide $\text{Mn}_3\text{FeTiSbO}_9$ with an Ilmenite Structure, *Doklady Chemistry*, 462 (2015) 141.
- [31] G.V. Bazuev, A.V. Korolev, B.G. Golovkin, Dilute ferrimagnetism of ilmenites $\text{Mn}_3\text{FeTiSbO}_9$ and $\text{Mn}_4\text{FeTi}_2\text{SbO}_{12}$, *Physics of the Solid State*, 58 (2016) 1332-1338.
- [32] R. Mathieu, S.A. Ivanov, I.V. Solovyev, G.V. Bazuev, P. Anil Kumar, P. Lazor, P. Nordblad, $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$: A ferrimagnetic ilmenite and an antiferromagnetic perovskite, *Physical Review B*, 87 (2013) 014408.
- [33] G. Shirane, S. J. Pickart, Y. Ishikawa, Neutron Diffraction Study of Antiferromagnetic MnTiO_3 and NiTiO_3 , *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, 14 (1959) 1352-1360.
- [34] J. Akimitsu, Y. Ishikawa, Y. Endoh, On the two-dimensional antiferromagnetic character of MnTiO_3 , *Solid State Communications*, 8 (1970) 87-90.
- [35] Y. Todate, Y. Ishikawa, K. Tajima, S. Tomiyoshi, H. Takei, Spin Dynamics in a Quasi-Two Dimensional Antiferromagnet MnTiO_3 , *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, 55 (1986) 4464-4476.
- [36] M. Ye, D. Vanderbilt, Domain walls and ferroelectric reversal in corundum derivatives, *Physical Review B*, 95 (2017) 014105.
- [37] M. Modak, N. Pal, S. Mondal, M. Sardar, S. Banerjee, Magnetic behavior of nanostructured NiTiO_3 and NiO material: Anomalous increase in coercivity, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 448 (2018) 221-227.
- [38] A.H. Hill, F. Jiao, P.G. Bruce, A. Harrison, W. Kockelmann, C. Ritter, Neutron Diffraction Study of Mesoporous and Bulk Hematite, $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$, *Chemistry of Materials*, 20 (2008) 4891-4899.
- [39] S. Giri, S. Samanta, S. Maji, S. Ganguli, A. Bhaumik, Magnetic properties of $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ nanoparticle synthesized by a new hydrothermal method, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 285 (2005) 296-302.
- [40] R.N. Bhowmik, A. Saravanan, Surface magnetism, Morin transition, and magnetic dynamics in antiferromagnetic $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ (hematite) nanograins, *Journal of Applied Physics*, 107 (2010) 053916.
- [41] N. Yamamoto, The Shift of the Spin Flip Temperature of $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ Fine Particles, *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, 24 (1968) 23-28.
- [42] F. Bødker, M.F. Hansen, C.B. Koch, K. Lefmann, S. Mørup, Magnetic properties of hematite nanoparticles, *Physical Review B*, 61 (2000) 6826-6838.
- [43] J. Prado-Gonjal, R. Schmidt, J.-J. Romero, D. Avila, U. Amador, E. Moran, Microwave-Assisted Synthesis, Microstructure, and Physical Properties of Rare-Earth Chromites, *Inorganic Chemistry*, 52 (2013) 313-320.
- [44] Y.L. Su, J.C. Zhang, L. Li, Z.J. Feng, B.Z. Li, Y. Zhou, S.X. Cao, Novel Magnetization Induced by Phase Coexistence in Multiferroic HoCrO_3 Chromites, *Ferroelectrics*, 410 (2010) 102-108.
- [45] Y.L. Su, J.C. Zhang, Z.J. Feng, L. Li, B.Z. Li, Y. Zhou, Z. Chen, S.X. Cao, Magnetization reversal and $\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Cr}^{3+}$ spin ordering at low temperature for perovskite YbCrO_3 chromites, *Journal of Applied Physics*, 108 (2010) 013905.
- [46] C. Moure, O. Peña, Magnetic features in REMeO_3 perovskites and their solid solutions (RE=rare-earth, Me=Mn, Cr), *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 337-338 (2013) 1-22.
- [47] B.B. Dash, S. Ravi, Sign reversal of magnetization in Mn substituted SmCrO_3 , *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 405 (2016) 209-213.
- [48] R. Zboril, M. Mashlan, D. Petridis, Iron(III) Oxides from Thermal Processes: Synthesis, Structural and Magnetic Properties, Mössbauer Spectroscopy Characterization, and Applications, *Chemistry of Materials*, 14 (2002) 969-982.
- [49] D.K. Kim, Y. Zhang, W. Voit, K.V. Rao, M. Muhammed, Synthesis and characterization of surfactant-coated superparamagnetic monodispersed iron oxide nanoparticles, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 225 (2001) 30-36.
- [50] M. Virumbrales, V. Blanco-Gutiérrez, A. Delgado-Cabello, R. Sáez-Puche, M.J. Torralvo, Superparamagnetism in CoFe_2O_4 nanoparticles: An example of a collective magnetic behavior dependent on the medium, *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 767 (2018) 559-566.
- [51] O. Karaagac, B.B. Yildiz, H. Köçkar, The influence of synthesis parameters on one-step synthesized superparamagnetic cobalt ferrite nanoparticles with high saturation magnetization, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 473 (2019) 262-267.
- [52] K. Naito, H. Inaba, H. Yagi, Heat capacity measurements of $\text{Mn}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4$, *Journal of Solid State Chemistry*, 36 (1981) 28-35.

- [53] J.M. Hastings, L.M. Corliss, Neutron Diffraction Study of Manganese Ferrite, *Physical Review*, 104 (1956) 328-331.
- [54] J.P. Chen, C.M. Sorensen, K.J. Klabunde, G.C. Hadjipanayis, E. Devlin, A. Kostikas, Size-dependent magnetic properties of MnFe_2O_4 fine particles synthesized by coprecipitation, *Physical Review B*, 54 (1996) 9288-9296.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Figure captions

Figure 1 Crystal structure of ilmenite $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ according to [25]: (a) Structural [110] projection. The stacking of Mn-Sb/Fe cation layers along the [001] c -direction is indicated. (b) Cations are slightly shifted from the octahedral centers as indicated by slightly different Mn-O and Fe/Sb – O bonding distances due to Coulomb repulsions between Mn^{2+} and $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Sb}^{5+}$ cation pairs, indicated by blue dashed lines. (c) Hexagonal arrangement of the octahedra within the (001) c -planes demonstrating the vacant sites \square .

Figure 2 Hexagonal sub-cells of $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ ilmenite. The magnetic spin exchange interactions between isoelectronic Mn^{2+} cations (orange spheres) and Fe^{3+} (green) are depicted. Non-magnetic Sb^{5+} cations are shown in black, \square are vacant sites. Red arrows indicate possible spin orientations and black arrows show the cationic displacements due to Coulomb repulsions (blue dashed lines).

Figure 3 Magnetic susceptibility χ ($\text{emu mol}^{-1} \text{Oe}^{-1}$) vs T (K) curves for different applied H (Oe), measured under ZFC and FC conditions as indicated. ZFC and FC curves are approximately identical.

Figure 4 Magnetization M (μ_B) vs T (K) for all applied H (Oe), measured under FC conditions. The inset shows differentiated M vs T curves, i.e. dM/dT (μ_B/K) vs T (K).

Figure 5 Magnetization M (μ_B) vs applied H (Oe) curves at different T (K) as indicated. M was measured by cycling H from +50 kOe to -50 kOe and back to + 50 kOe. A near-zero coercive field H_C is indicated.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT